## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION

KRISTEN M. FIEDOR,	TRIAL BY JURY DEMANDED
Plaintiff,	FILED: OCTOBER 24, 2008 08CV6103
v. )	JUDGE HOLDERMAN
SUPERVALU d/b/a JEWEL FOOD STORES, ) a corporation )	Civil No <sub>magistrate</sub> judge mason eda
Defendant.	

### **COMPLAINT**

### JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 1. This is a claim for relief under Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, Title 42 U.S.C. §2000e-2(a)(1), more particularly, the Pregnancy Discrimination Act of 1979, as amended in 2005, 42 U.S.C. 2000e(k). Jurisdiction is posited upon Title 28 U.S.C. §1343(4).
- 2. Defendant is an employer within the meaning of the Act. The conduct complained of occurred within this judicial district at or near Lemont, Illinois.

### **ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURES**

3. Prior to filing this suit, plaintiff filed the attached charge of discrimination against the employer with the Illinois Department of Human Rights. The Equal Employment Opportunity Commission was notified of said charge. The attached right-to-sue letter from the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission was issued. This suit has been timely filed within 90 days of receipt of said right-to-sue letter.

### STATEMENT OF CLAIM

**4.** On or about May 27, 2008 plaintiff was discriminated against in the terms,

Case: 1:08-cv-06103 Document #: 1 Filed: 10/24/08 Page 2 of 6 PageID #:2

conditions and privileges of her employment because of her sex when she was maliciously discharged because she was pregnant, as more fully set forth in her attached charge of

discrimination.

**PRAYER FOR RELIEF** 

5 Wherefore, plaintiff, KRISTEN M. FIEDOR, asks the court to enter judgment in

her favor, and against the defendant, SUPERVALUE, for all reasonable relief to which she may

be entitled, pursuant to Title 42 U.S.C. §2000e-5(g) and (k) and 42 U.S.C. 1981a.

ERNEST T. ROSSIELLO

ERNEST T. ROSSIELLO & ASSOCIATES, P.C.

134 North LaSalle Street, Suite 1330

Chicago, Illinois 60602

Telephone: (312) 346-8920

Telefax: (312) 346-5988

Attorney for Plaintiff

October 21, 2008

- 2 -

Case: 1:08-cv-06103 Document #: 1 Filed: 10/24/08 Page 3 of 6 PageID #:3

U.S. EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION

EEOC Form 161-B (3/98)

NOTICE OF	RIGHT T	o Sue	(ISSUED	ON REQUEST)
	1000			

7; L	risten Fiedor 24 State St emont, IL 60439 ERTIFIED MAIL 7000 0600 0022 1	From	Chicago District Office 500 West Madison St Suite 2000 Chicago, IL 60661
	On behalf of person(s) aggrieved CONFIDENTIAL (29 CFR §1601.	l whose identity is	
EEOC C	harge No.	EEOC Representative	Telephone No.
		Armernola P. Smith,	,
21B-20	008-02643	State & Local Coordinator	(312) 886-5973
NOTICE T	D THE PERSON AGGRIEVED:	(See al	so the additional information enclosed with this form.)
the ADA	must be filed in a federal or state	e above-numbered charge. It has been i	Act (ADA): This is your Notice of Right to Sue, issued ssued at your request. Your lawsuit under Title VII or eipt of this notice; or your right to sue based on this nt.)
	More than 180 days have pas	sed since the filing of this charge.	
X		sed since the filing of this charge, but I is strative processing within 180 days from	nave determined that it is unlikely that the EEOC will the filing of this charge.
X	The EEOC is terminating its p	rocessing of this charge.	
28	The EEOC will continue to pro	ocess this charge.	
Age Disc 90 days a your cas	arren Aon Leceive Horice fligt Me Ligh	ADEA): You may sue under the ADEA at ve completed action on the charge. In ti	any time from 60 days after the charge was filed until his regard, <b>the paragraph marked below applies to</b>
	The EEOC is closing your cas 90 DAYS of your receipt of t	se. Therefore, your lawsuit under the AD his Notice. Otherwise, your right to sue	EA <b>must be filed in federal or state court <u>WITHIN</u></b> based on the above-numbered charge will be lost.
	The EEOC is continuing its had you may file suit in federal or s	andling of your ADEA case. However, if state court under the ADEA at this time.	60 days have passed since the filing of the charge,
ii ledelal	or state court within 2 years (3 years	right to sue under the EPA (filing an EEC ars for willful violations) of the alleged EP, years (3 years) before you file suit may	C charge is not required.) EPA suits must be brought A underpayment. This means that backpay due for root be collectible.
f you file	suit, based on this charge, please se	end a copy of your court complaint to this o	office.
		On behalf of the Co	ommission
% <u>-</u>		John P. Row	09/26/2008 mjh
Enclosur	es(s)	John P. Rowe, District Director	(Date Mailed)
cc:	SUPERVALU DBA JEWEL 1202 State St		RECEIVED
	Lemont, IL 60439		OCT 03 2008
			FRNEST T DOSSIELLE

ERNEST T. ROSSIELLO & ASSOCIATES, P.C.

			0940717,19
CHARGE OF DISCRIMINATION	AGENCY	CHAR	GE NUMBER
This form is affected by the Privacy Act of 1974: See Privacy act statement refore completing this form.	Ø IDHR	20	109CF0751
	EEOC_		
Illinois Department of Human	Rights and El	EOC	
NAME (Indicate Mr. Ms. Mrs.)	HOME TELE		
Ms. Kristen Fiedor		346-8920	Attorney
STREET ADDRESS CITY, STATE AND ELF COU	Δ 1	DATE OF B	'85
724 State Street Lemont IL 6043 NAMED IS THE EMPLOYER, LABOR ORGANIZATION, EMPLOYMEN	I I MITCHILL I MAN	RENTICESI	IF COMMITTEE, STATE
OR LOCAL GOVERNMENT AGENCY WHO DISCRIMINATED AGAIN	2 F TAFFE (99, TAYONY	THAN ONE	ONE (Include area code)
NAME NUMBER OF EMPLO	i eco,		•
Supervalu d/b/a Jevel	Yes	630-	-243-1107   COUNTY
SYGNOT HERE.	DDE		W111
1202 State St Lemont IL 60439	TELEPHONE	(include are	
NAME	I ELEI RONE	(1401000 000	
STREET ADDRESS CITY, STATE AND ZUP	CODE	pix	COUNTY
		DATE OF	DISCRIMINATION '
CAUSE OF DISCRIMINATION BASED ON:			(ADEA/EPA) CATESTALLS
Gender (pregnancy)		1000 10	
,		1 11	1 1
	000	CONT	INUING ACTION
SEE ATTACHED STATEMENT OF PART	<u>ICULARS</u>	٠	
DEPT OF HUMAN RIGHTS IN TAKE UNIT	Ernes	t T.	Rossiello
	A	ttorney o	at Law
17 2008 بران	134 No	rth LaSal	le St., #1330
RECEIVED	Chica	ago, IL 6	0602-1137
BY		312) 346	
	//		
I also want this charge filed with the EEOC. I will advise the agencies if I change my address or telephone number and I will cooperate fully with them in the processing of my charge is accordance with their procedures.	OTARY SIGNATI	illy	BEFORE ME ON THIS  MONTH DATE-YEAR
	de-les- under new 1	d the above cha	oing is true and correct I swear ree and that it is true to the best
DEPT OF HUMAN	RIGHTS		
FORM 5 (5/05) INTAKE LIN	ALT		
1 456	onna ·		
JUL 17 1	נטטס		
	<u> </u>		

Case: 1:08-cv-06103 Document #: 1 Filed: 10/24/08 Page 5 of 6 PageID #:5

# STATEMENT OF PARTICULARS

My name is KRISTEN M. FIEDOR and, until May 27, 2008 I was employed as a deliclerk by JEWEL FOOD STORES, owned by SUPERVALU, a California corporation. My hourly wage was \$8.40. I began my employment on or about April 25, 2008. I was fired from my part-time position that day by JENNY CHANCEY, Deli-Manager and CHUCK MITCHELL, Store Manager, because I was pregnant. My baby is due on November 9, 2008.

On or about May 12, 2008 I informed JENNY CHANCEY, my supervisor, that I was pregnant. About May 15, 2008 I overheard a conversation between JENNY and CHUCK wherein JENNY was stating that she did not want to assign me to full-time employment because I was pregnant. I had been informed that I would be eligible for full-time employment once a full-time position became available. One actually became available about two weeks before I was terminated. There was a man (about 19-20 years of age) working in the deli, but he transferred to the TCF Bank in the store, so I was then next to receive a full-time deli position.

My last day actually worked was May 15, 2008. I called in sick the next day, May 16, 2008 which was an excused absence. I was then scheduled to have off the next day (Saturday). I then called in Sunday morning, May 18, 2008 for my next week's work schedule to speak to JENNY, who was not in attendance. I spoke to a woman (JANICE) working in the deli who instructed me to call JENNY on Wednesday, May 21, 2008. JANICE, at that time, stated that JENNY wished to speak to me first before giving me my work schedule. So, I called JENNY on Wednesday morning, May 21, 2008 and spoke to her. She asked me to

Case: 1:08-cv-06103 Document #: 1 Filed: 10/24/08 Page 6 of 6 PageID #:6

come to the store that day because she wanted to speak to me. I was unable to be at the store that day because my mother had the car. So, JENNY asked me to call her on Tuesday, May 27, 2008, the day after Memorial Day. I called her that morning and stated that I could be at the store about 11:00 A.M. that day.

I arrived at the store, saw JENNY and she asked me to wait in her office while she checked to see whether CHUCK was available. He was available, so JENNY and I went to CHUCK'S office. I sat down and attended a meeting with them which lasted about 3-4 minutes. CHUCK did most of the talking. CHUCK then began criticizing my work performance, which had not been criticized before by anyone. I had only seen CHUCK once in the deli-department, so he could not have had personal knowledge of my work performance. After CHUCK spoke for a minute or two, JENNY then stated, "When you health gets back in order, then you can come back and work at Jewel." This was a direct reference to my pregnant condition, of which JENNY knew. I could have continued working until close to my pregnancy, as I had had no issues with performance or attendance before my termination.

As a result of the discrimination alleged, I have been economically harmed, embarrassed, humiliated and inconvenienced.

Ernest T. Rossiello
Attorney at Law
134 North LaSalle St., #1330
Chicago, IL 60602-1137.
(312) 346-8920